Early cannabis use and Schizotypal Personality Disorder Symptoms from adolescence to middle adulthood
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Abstract

Background: While increasing evidence suggests that cannabis use may play a role in the development of schizophrenia in some young people, less is known about the strength and specificity of its relationship to latent schizophrenia liability, i.e., schizotypal personality disorder traits.

Aims: Determine the predictive value of cannabis use during childhood and early adolescence on schizotypal personality disorder (SPD) symptoms projecting into adulthood, using a community-based longitudinal cohort from upstate New York.

Method: Prospective data from 804 participants was used to determine associations between early cannabis use and later schizotypal symptoms, accounting for important potential confounds (e.g., adolescent schizotypal symptoms).

Results: Cannabis use with onset prior to age 14 strongly predicted SPD symptoms in adulthood, independent of early adolescent SPD symptoms, major depression, anxiety disorder, other drug use, and cigarette use. There was no interaction effect of early cannabis use and early adolescent SPD symptoms on SPD symptoms into adulthood.

Conclusions: Our data provide further support for a strong association of early cannabis use with the development of symptoms characteristic of schizophrenia spectrum disorders. As with studies in schizophrenia, early SPD symptoms could not fully explain the association of early cannabis use with later schizotypal symptoms. The mechanisms that underlie the association of cannabis use and schizotypal symptoms in a developmental context deserve further exploration.
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the main purpose of the study by focusing on the following three major objectives: 1) examine whether early cannabis use has predictive value for schizotypal symptoms (SPD) into adulthood, as opposed to having effects restricted to a specific developmental period (i.e., age-specific effects); 2) determine whether the relationship between adolescent cannabis use and schizotypal symptoms in adulthood is specific to cannabis users exhibiting higher levels of schizotypal symptoms in younger years and/or explained by early schizotypal symptoms; and 3) determine if associations between cannabis use and schizotypal symptoms could be accounted for by other factors, such as sex, anxiety and depression (Brook et al., 1998; Verdoux et al., 2003), or use of other drugs, including tobacco (Zammit et al., 2002; Macleod et al., 2004).

2. Methods

2.1. Participants and procedures

Data for the present study was based on individuals from an epidemiological cohort of children (i.e., Children in the Community (CIC) study) randomly sampled from families living in upstate New York in 1975, who were subsequently repeatedly assessed for Axis I and II disorders. The first assessment of Axis I and II disorders was during the first follow-up in 1983 at mean age 13 (SD 2.6, age range 9–18). The present analyses are based on 804 youth who were followed up again at least twice in 1985–1986 (mean age 16.4, SD = 2.8); 1991–1993 (mean age 22.4, SD = 2.7); or in 2001–2004 (mean age 33.2, SD = 2.8), and on whom we had self-reports of age of onset of cannabis use. This sample is 91% Caucasian and 51% male. Detailed information about this community sample is provided in several previous reports (e.g., Cohen and Cohen, 1996; Kasen et al., 2007; Skodol et al., 2007) and on the study Web site (http://nyspi.org/childcom). Consent was obtained for all interviews and a National Institute of Health (NIH) Certificate of Confidentiality exists for these data.

2.2. Assessments

Axis I symptoms and disorders of youth were determined using the Diagnostic Interview Schedule for Children (DISC; Costello et al., 1984), which was altered to increase appropriateness for adult respondents in Clinical Interview for DSM-IV (SCID-I/NP; First et al., 1996) and on the study Web site (http://nyspi.org/childcom). Consent was obtained from the main purpose of the study by focusing on the following three major objectives: 1) examine whether early cannabis use has predictive value for schizotypal symptoms (SPD) into adulthood, as opposed to having effects restricted to a specific developmental period (i.e., age-specific effects); 2) determine whether the relationship between adolescent cannabis use and schizotypal symptoms in adulthood is specific to cannabis users exhibiting higher levels of schizotypal symptoms in younger years and/or explained by early schizotypal symptoms; and 3) determine if associations between cannabis use and schizotypal symptoms could be accounted for by other factors, such as sex, anxiety and depression (Brook et al., 1998; Verdoux et al., 2003), or use of other drugs, including tobacco (Zammit et al., 2002; Macleod et al., 2004).

2.3. Measures

Schizotypal Personality Disorder Symptoms were assessed using the Children in the Community-Self-Report (CIC-SR) Schizotypal Personality Disorder (SPD) symptom scale (Crawford et al., 2005). This 16-item scale, which is based on self-report items in the CIC protocol, was created to measure the best possible dimensional estimates of schizotypal personality disorder in the CIC sample across all follow-up assessments. The 16 items in the scale provide full coverage of the DSM-IV criteria for schizotypal personality disorder and are measured on 4-point Likert scales. The internal consistency of the CIC-SR SPD symptom scale at mean age 33.2 was .65.

The convergent validity of the dimensional scores on the CIC-SR SPD symptom scale to diagnoses obtained using the SCID-II Personality Disorder Screen (First et al., 1995) was good (r = .47, p < .01). The predictive validity of the CIC-SR SPD symptom scale at mean age 22 to SCID-II clinical schizotypal diagnoses obtained at mean age 33 was fair (r = .26, p < .01; Crawford et al., 2005). The development of the scale is provided in detail elsewhere (Crawford et al., 2005). For the present study, the CIC-SR SPD symptom scale has been standardized over the trajectory of repeated assessments to enhance interpretability of effect sizes including annual mean changes. The scale for the 1983 assessment when the mean age for participants was 13.7 was used as an early adolescent control of schizotypal symptoms.

Cannabis use was first assessed at mean age 13.7 in 1983 and subsequently at mean ages 16.4, 22.4, and 33.2. As part of the DISC-I (Costello et al., 1984), youth participants were asked about prior marijuana use and age at first use. Responses to subsequent questions indicating at least monthly use were categorized as cannabis “users,” with those who have only experimented once or twice or who never used categorized as “non-users.”

The present analyses focus on youth-reported early cannabis use defined as onset before age 14 as reported in the initial, second, or third follow-up assessments. We chose onset prior to age 14 given previous findings that cannabis use occurring earlier during adolescence (e.g., < 15; Arseneault et al., 2002) has a stronger predictive value for the development of schizophreniform disorder than use with later adolescent onset.

2.3.1. Covariates

Other psychopathology used for these analyses included adolescent DSM-III-R anxiety disorders (overanxious, social phobia, and/or separation anxiety, N = 211) and major depressive disorder (N = 40). These disorders were derived from the parent and youth reports on the DISC-I. Other drug use included youth reports of nonmedical use of amphetamines, barbiturates, cocaine, heroin, LSD or other psychedelics,qualudes, or tranquilizers of at least once a month (N = 40). Cigarette use was determined with an affirmative youth response regarding amount of cigarette usage (N = 338).

2.3.2. Demographic control variables

Based on significantly higher mean schizotypal symptoms in males and in children with lower family socioeconomic status (SES) (Anglin et al., 2008), we controlled for sex and SES in all analyses.
An index of SES which has been used in numerous previous CIC studies (e.g., Skodol et al., 2007) was computed as the standardized sum of standardized measures of years of maternal and paternal education, occupational status, and family income.

2.4. Data analyses

Hierarchical linear regression (SAS PROC MIXED) was used to estimate the random (trajectory differences between participants) effects and the fixed (average) effects of predictors on these trajectories of schizotypal personality disorder (SPD) symptoms from ages 11 to 35 in 804 members of the cohort (Fig. 1). Age was centered at age 23 (the mean age over the three follow-up assessments at mean ages 16.4, 22.4, and 33.2): thus the final equation intercept equals the standardized mean level of symptoms estimated at that age under the circumstances when all predictors in the equation are also centered. Initial analyses examined the prediction by early cannabis use of this trajectory. Subsequent analyses examined potential variables that may confound or modify these estimates.

3. Results

3.1. Descriptive analyses

About 70% of participants (n = 567) reported ever using cannabis at any point during the first three follow up assessments (mean ages 16.4, 22.4, and 33.2), of whom the majority reported experimental or rare use. In contrast, early cannabis use, defined as onset before age 14 with a regular frequency of use, was evident among only 17.1% (n = 97) of all participants who ever tried cannabis at any age.

Early cannabis users were compared to the rest of the sample on relevant demographic and clinical variables. There was no significant difference between the number of males (58%) and females (42%) who were early cannabis users (X² = 2.23, p > .05). Early users were significantly more likely than non-users to use other drugs (18.6% vs. 2.0%; X² = 59.45, p < .001) and to smoke cigarettes (85.6% vs. 36%; X² = 85.72, p < .001). Early cannabis users were also more likely than non-users to have had major depression (9.3% vs. 4.2%; X² = 4.75, p < .05), but not an anxiety disorder (22.7% vs. 26.5%; X² = 65, p > .05). A major issue for consideration is the extent to which schizotypal symptoms were already present among the early users. There was a trend difference between early cannabis users and non-users on SPD symptoms assessed at mean age 13.7 (p = .08). Early cannabis users exhibited a slightly higher mean level of SPD symptoms than non-users.

3.2. Descriptive findings from multi-level analyses

The base model that gives annual age changes in standardized SPD symptoms was significantly negative but small per year over the nearly 2 decades covered, and linear (see Table 2 for mean SPD symptom scores). No variable, including cannabis use significantly predicted differential annual age changes on schizotypal symptoms. Inspection of the level one data revealed that the across-participant covariance between the means and slopes of the SPD trajectory was not statistically significant, indicating that those with high averages over the years showed about the same pattern of decline as did those with lower averages. Inspection of the level two data, i.e. fixed effects, revealed that the mean level of SPD symptoms declined with increasing age per year (regression coefficient (b) = −.01, SE = .002, p = .001) (see Table 1). This finding was fairly consistent in all multilevel models and is not reported further.

3.2.1. Main effects in level 2 (fixed effects) multi-level models

Model 1 in Table 1 demonstrates the estimated effects of early cannabis use on average over the schizotypal symptoms trajectory, independent of age, gender, and SES effects. Early cannabis users demonstrated higher levels of SPD symptoms (.24 SD unit difference, Cohen’s d = .01) than non-users over the trajectory. On average over the trajectory, SPD symptoms in males were higher than in females (Cohen’s d = .53, p < .001), and children with lower family socioeconomic status (SES) reported more SPD symptoms than those from higher SES backgrounds (Cohen’s d = .20, p < .001).

3.3. Tests of potential confounders

In Model 2, we added schizotypal symptoms at mean age 13.7 to the predictors. On average over the trajectory, youth who reported more SPD symptoms in early adolescence continued to report higher levels of SPD symptoms thereafter. However, the effect of early cannabis use on the SPD trajectory remained statistically significant in this model, only decreasing .04 SD units. We found that the effect of early cannabis use on SPD symptoms into adulthood was not specific to those exhibiting higher levels of SPD symptoms in 1983 (results not shown in Table 1), as the interaction term was not significant. Thus, the effect of early cannabis use on SPD symptoms into adulthood was not restricted to those already exhibiting significant levels of these symptoms. In Model 3, we tested the effect of early cannabis use in the presence of other adolescent psychopathology. Those whose

### Table 1

HLM regression estimates of effects of early cannabis use on the trajectory of Schizotypal Personality Disorder Symptoms over 20 years.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Model 1</th>
<th>Model 2</th>
<th>Model 3</th>
<th>Model 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b</td>
<td>s.e.</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>s.e.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean schizotypal symptoms at trajectory</td>
<td>-28</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>-25</td>
<td>.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average annual change in schizotypal symptoms</td>
<td>-01</td>
<td>.002</td>
<td>-01</td>
<td>.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>.53</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>.48</td>
<td>.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SES</td>
<td>-20</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>-14</td>
<td>.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early cannabis use</td>
<td>.24</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>.20</td>
<td>.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early schizotypal symptoms</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>.23</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early anxiety</td>
<td>.19</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other drug use</td>
<td>.16</td>
<td>.14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cigarette use</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-02</td>
<td>.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Non-significant interactions discussed in the text are not included in the table. The effects for variables noted with 3 stars remained significant throughout every model at the p < .0001 level. * p < .05, ** p < .01, *** p < .0001.

### Table 2

Schizotypal personality disorder (SPD) symptom mean scores (SD) at the three time points in the trajectory.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predictor variables</th>
<th>SPD T3 (mean age = 16.4)</th>
<th>SPD T4 (mean age = 22.4)</th>
<th>SPD T6 (mean age = 33.2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>25.75 (8.53)</td>
<td>24.58 (9.19)</td>
<td>24.14 (8.51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>20.86 (9.52)</td>
<td>20.22 (8.56)</td>
<td>19.10 (9.54)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cannabis use</td>
<td>25.41 (9.24)</td>
<td>24.19 (9.07)</td>
<td>25.13 (10.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-use</td>
<td>22.99 (9.36)</td>
<td>22.05 (9.15)</td>
<td>20.82 (9.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety disorder</td>
<td>24.91 (8.98)</td>
<td>23.59 (9.21)</td>
<td>22.97 (10.26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No anxiety disorder</td>
<td>22.76 (9.42)</td>
<td>21.82 (9.05)</td>
<td>20.87 (8.99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDD</td>
<td>26.41 (9.77)</td>
<td>23.79 (9.58)</td>
<td>25.45 (9.25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No MDD</td>
<td>23.16 (9.31)</td>
<td>22.22 (9.10)</td>
<td>21.26 (9.40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cigarette use</td>
<td>23.41 (9.84)</td>
<td>21.33 (9.25)</td>
<td>22.50 (9.67)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-use</td>
<td>23.12 (8.88)</td>
<td>22.65 (9.02)</td>
<td>20.35 (8.11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other drug use</td>
<td>27.11 (11.56)</td>
<td>23.61 (10.35)</td>
<td>23.61 (12.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-use</td>
<td>23.05 (9.16)</td>
<td>21.97 (9.08)</td>
<td>21.23 (9.25)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
a probable anxiety disorder (.19 SD unit difference) and a probable major depressive disorder (.26 SD unit difference) reported higher levels of SPD symptoms into adulthood compared to those without the respective disorders. However, these effects did not explain the effect of early cannabis use on SPD symptoms, as this remained significant in Model 3 (p<.01). In Model 4 (Table 1), those smoking cigarettes and those using other drugs were not significantly more likely to report SPD symptoms into adulthood (p = .76 and p = .26, respectively) in this model.

4. Discussion

4.1. The relationship between early cannabis use and SPD symptoms

The present investigation demonstrated that early adolescent cannabis use predicted the subsequent trajectory for schizotypal personality disorder (SPD) symptoms from mean age 13 to 35. SPD symptoms are characterized by attenuated psychotic symptoms that include unusual perceptual experiences and beliefs, and odd and withdrawn behavior. Overall, the average level of these types of symptoms decreased with increasing age in our community sample. In general, declines in mean levels of personality disorder symptoms over time can be expected (e.g., Bernstein et al., 1993; Lenzenweger, 1999; Johnson et al., 2000). Using cannabis regularly in adolescence predicted higher self-reported levels of these symptoms over the next decades. This is fairly consistent with evidence from studies examining cannabis and schizophrenia (e.g., Andreasson et al., 1987; Arsenault et al., 2002; Zammit et al., 2002; Large et al., 2011).

The effect of cannabis use on SPD symptoms into adulthood was not completely explained by key potential confounding factors identified in the literature such as symptoms that may precede or co-occur with early cannabis use. Arsenault et al. (2002) found that once the presence of psychotic-like symptoms at age 11 was controlled, the effect of starting cannabis use by age 15 was reduced by about 31% and no longer significant in predicting schizophrenia or schizophrenia-like symptoms, although the magnitude of the effect remained high (OR = 3.12). Here we also found part of the effect of adolescent cannabis use on SPD symptoms into adulthood was explained by pre-existing or co-occurring SPD symptoms, which were strongly related to SPD symptoms into adulthood. That said, the effect of cannabis use was still evident and remained statistically significant for early regular use. Furthermore, the cannabis use effect was not exclusive to those already exhibiting higher levels of these SPD symptoms. Our findings were also consistent with previous work (e.g., Arsenault et al., 2002) that found other drug use and cigarette use did not explain the effects of cannabis use on schizophrenia-spectrum outcomes.

D’Souza et al. (2005) found that intravenous delta 9 THC, the principal psychoactive substance in cannabis, can induce not only psychotic symptoms, but also anxiety, cognitive deficits, and other symptoms in patients with schizophrenia and in healthy individuals. In our study, early cannabis users were more likely to have a probable anxiety or depressive disorder during adolescence, and adolescents with these disorders were more likely to exhibit SPD symptoms over the life course. However, the independent effects of these disorders did not explain why early regular cannabis use predicted higher self-reported trajectories of SPD symptoms into adulthood. Thus, it is not likely that underlying anxiety or depression among adolescents, who may end up using cannabis to cope with distressing psychopathology, explains why levels of schizophrenia-like symptoms are elevated over the life course in these cannabis users.

4.2. Limitations and future directions

The low prevalence of schizotypal personality disorder (SPD) did not permit us to examine the clinical diagnosis of SPD as an outcome variable in the sample. Thus, although the community sample improves the generalizability of the findings, the sample size did not provide adequate statistical power to make firm conclusions about the diagnostic level of SPD symptoms. The age range of our cohort limits the precision to which we can assert a one-way temporal relationship between cannabis use and schizotypal symptoms. The use of a community sample and attainment of the cannabis exposure information during adolescence minimizes the risk of other biases.

In order to maintain consistency of measurement of SPD into middle adulthood we were limited to self-report. This limitation may not be problematic because other information suggests that self-report is as strongly predictive of subsequent dysfunction as is combined maternal-youth report (Skodol et al., 1991; Crawford et al., 2005). While we cannot draw a definitive conclusion that there may be a characteristic that increases both the risk of engaging in cannabis use and in exhibiting SPD symptoms, we were able to adjust for SPD symptoms during childhood and early adolescence.

4.3. Conclusion

Numerous studies have demonstrated that cannabis use is temporally associated with psychotic-like symptoms (e.g., Corcoran et al., 2008), and fewer have done so with schizotypy (e.g., Williams et al., 1996; Skosnik et al., 2001; Esterberg et al., 2009; Cohen et al., 2011). The current study demonstrated prospectively that early use of this substance is related to later schizotypal symptoms. This is the first longitudinal cohort study of cannabis and schizotypy to examine potential interaction. Our findings suggest cannabis may lead to the development of schizotypal symptoms, and not simply maintain persistent symptoms. While our findings do not completely rule out the possibility that the effects of cannabis use could serve as a trigger in already vulnerable individuals predisposed to oddness, they suggest that cannabis use may contribute to the etiopathogenesis of schizophrenia-related symptoms. Future research should determine whether these effects of using cannabis on SPD symptoms vary with duration of use throughout the life course. Thus it is still unclear whether the effects of early cannabis are minimized among those who stop smoking at some other developmentally critical point (e.g., in their early 20s or even later).
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